



# The Value of Audiologists During the Hearing Aid Fitting Process: Real-Ear Measurement (REM)



Verifying a Hearing Aid Fitting with REM Administered by an Audiologist Improves Communication and Hearing Aid Outcomes.

## Speech Intelligibility

Individuals who received a REM-verified fitting, compared to default settings, experienced improvement in...

- Speech intelligibility performance in quiet [SMD = 0.59],<sup>1</sup>
- Speech recognition scores using CNC words (15%) and phonemes (7.7%),<sup>2</sup> and
- The average signal-to-noise ratio (6.6 dB).<sup>3</sup>

## Why do audiologists perform REM?

- Considered best practice when fitting a hearing aid.
- Measures the loudness of the hearing aid within the ear canal.
- Confirms that the hearing aid is providing the maximum benefit (audibility, comfort, and effectiveness) to the user.

## Self-Reported Listening Ability and Communication Experience

Individuals who received a REM-verified fitting, compared to default settings, experienced increased...

- Self-perceived listening ability [SMD = 0.22,  $p = .0005$ ],<sup>1</sup>
- Overall communication outcomes [F(1, 21) = 4.69,  $p = .042$ ],<sup>4</sup> and
- Self-perceived understanding of speech in background noise (4.2%).<sup>2</sup>

## Patient Satisfaction and Perception

With a REM-verified fitting, individuals reported...

- Significantly higher satisfaction with hearing aid services for both experienced [x2 (1, N) = 8.33,  $p < .05$ ] and first-time hearing aid users [x2 (1) = 14.54,  $p < .001$ ],<sup>5</sup>
- A preference for verified hearing aid settings (67%–79% of patients),<sup>2,6</sup> and
- Increased patient perception that the professional services and hearing aids solved their problem or fulfilled their needs (1.8-3.3 point improvement in response ratings).<sup>5</sup>

## Tinnitus Symptoms

- Individuals with REM-verified hearing aids experienced significantly reduced tinnitus distress [x2 = 5.48,  $p = .02$ ] and tinnitus loudness [x2 = 21.5,  $p < .00001$ ].<sup>7</sup>

## Hearing Aid Fit and Acceptability

- Devices verified using REM more closely matched prescriptive targets (within 1.5-2.5 dB) compared to default levels (underfit by 7-10 dB).<sup>8</sup>
- Individuals who received REM-verified hearing aids were more likely to keep their devices compared to those who received unverified hearing aids (81%-83% versus 55%).<sup>9</sup>

## References

---

- <sup>1</sup> Almuftarij, I., Dillon, H., & Munro, K. J. (2021). Does probe-tube verification of real-ear hearing aid amplification characteristics improve outcomes in adults? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Trends in Hearing*, *25*, 1-18. doi: 10.1177/2331216521999563
- <sup>2</sup> Valente, M., Oeding, K., Brockmeyer, A., Smith, S., & Kallogjeri, D. (2018). Differences in word and phoneme recognition in quiet, sentence recognition in noise, and subjective outcomes between manufacturer first-fit and hearing aids programmed to NAL-NL2 using real-ear measures. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, *29*(08), 706-721. doi: 10.3766/jaaa.17005
- <sup>3</sup> Leavitt, R., & Flexer, C. (2012). The importance of audibility in successful amplification of hearing loss. *Hearing Review*, *19*(13), 20-23.
- <sup>4</sup> Abrams, H. B., Chisolm, T. H., McManus, M., & McArdle, R. (2012). Initial-fit approach versus verified prescription: Comparing self-perceived hearing aid benefit. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, *23*(10), 768-778. doi: 10.3766/jaaa.23.10.3
- <sup>5</sup> Amlani, A. M., Pumford, J., & Gessling, E. (2016). Improving patient perception of clinical services through real-ear measurements. *Canadian Audiologist*, *4*(5).
- <sup>6</sup> Boymans, M., & Dreschler, W. A. (2012). Audiologist-driven versus patient-driven fine tuning of hearing instruments. *Trends in Amplification*, *16*(1), 49-58. doi: 10.1177/1084713811424884
- <sup>7</sup> Waechter, S., & Jönsson, A. (2022). Hearing aids mitigate tinnitus, but does it matter if the patient receives amplification in accordance with their hearing impairment or not? A meta-analysis. *American Journal of Audiology*, *31*(3), 789-818. doi: 10.1044/2022\_AJA-22-00004
- <sup>8</sup> Amlani, A. M., Pumford, J., & Gessling, E. (2017). Real-ear measurement and its impact on aided audibility and patient loyalty. *Hearing Review*, *24*(10), 12-21.
- <sup>9</sup> Humes, L. E., Rogers, S. E., Quigley, T. M., Main, A. K., Kinney, D. L., & Herring, C. (2017). The effects of service-delivery model and purchase price on hearing-aid outcomes in older adults: A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled clinical trial. *American Journal of Audiology*, *26*(1), 53-79. doi: 10.1044/2017\_AJA-16-0111